

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,046	09/15/2006	Manfred Rietzler	SMT-003	7284
42532 PROSKAUER	7590 01/11/2008 ROSE LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE			WILLIAMS, MARK A	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commencer	10/593,046	RIETZLER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark A. Williams	3673			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number:

10/593,046

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not fully understood exactly what is meant by "non-positive joining" in the context of the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leck et al, US Patent 6,420,971. A seal device comprising a seal body 2 comprising a data carrier including a data transmission device (22, 24, 26),

Application/Control Number:

10/593,046

Art Unit: 3673

the data carrier being designed as a switching circuit; and an attachment device for the captive attachment of the seal body to an object to be sealed, one end of the attachment device being connected in a single piece with the seal body and another end of the attachment device comprising, as best understood, a joining device for non-positive joining to a connection device provided on the seal body; wherein the switching circuit of the seal body includes an external circuit bridge 6 for connecting two connection points of the switching circuit lead through the attachment device. The switching circuit comprises an integrated circuit, and the external circuit bridge comprises a wire-shaped conductor. The switching circuit is connected to an energy supply device 20 that is integrated in the seal device, and the data transmission device is made from a data access contact 26 arrangement that is arranged on the outside of the seal body. The attachment device is constructed as a wire conductor. The attachment device is made from a singlepiece extension of the seal body (since together one piece is formed). The attachment device comprises a circuit bridge that is formed from a conductive plastic. In order to form the circuit bridge the attachment device comprises a multitude of electrically conductive fibers. In order to form the circuit bridge the attachment device comprises a multitude of electrically conductive fibers. In order

10/593,046

Art Unit: 3673

to form the circuit bridge the attachment device comprises a multitude of electrically conductive fibers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leck et al, in view of Maloney, US Patent 6,958,698.

Regarding claim 4, Leck provides the claimed invention except explicit teaching of an antenna device, as claimed. However, it is old and well known in the art of such seal devices to use antenna devices to transmit desired data.

Maloney teaches the general use of an antenna device in similar seal structure. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Leck in this way, for the purpose of providing an alternative known means of transmission of data that would have functioned at least equally as well.

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claims 5-7 and 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in these ways, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. Such modifications are not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

Regarding claim 8, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in this way, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Such modifications are not critical to the design and would have produced no unexpected results.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7064. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax

Application/Control Number:

10/593,046

Art Unit: 3673

Page 6

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

Mark Williams

1/5/08